SINGLE BENCH

CF 3002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 257 OF 2007

PETITIONERS :

1. Smt. Sanjulata Sinha wife of Shri Rakesh Kumar Sinha, aged about 37 years, Occupation Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School, Shivnandanpur, Block Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

2. Smt. Philomina Ekka wife of Shri Birendra Masih, aged 40 about years, Occupation Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School Shivnandanpur, Block Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

3. Hermanus Minj Son of Shri Alwis Minj, aged about 38 years, Occupation Service, Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Nayanpur, Block Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1574-07 Presented by Shri Ganesh Gupta dated 11/07

RECEIVED COPY 11/7/07 to A.G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of School Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Director, Public Instructions, Raipur (C.G.).

3 (5)

3. The District Education Officer, Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

4. The Block Education Officer, Surajpur, District Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

(36)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (S) No. 257 of 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Sanjulata Sinha and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Mr. V. Vijay, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on 19th day of January, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts of the present case are similar to that of in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006 (Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7th November, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in terms of the order dated 7th November, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P. (s) 5976 of 2006.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu